

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,293	11/19/2003	Bruce W. Ramme	960049.90324	7543
26?10	7590 01/21/2005		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP			MARCANTONI. PAUL D	
411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 2040 MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-4497			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/717,293	RAMME ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Paul Marcantoni	1755					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE WAY OF THE WA	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 <u>9 November 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 7	This action is non-final.						
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.f	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat	ition.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawinç	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l (d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachmen	• •	· "						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,293

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a, b, and e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Srinivasachar et al. '447 or 120, Matsuyama et al. 663, Siddle '851 B1, Edlund et al. '567 B1, Zemskov et al., EP 380467 (Fercher et al.), Fujita (JP 04061981), Hamaguchi et al. (JP 07155722 or JP 07155723), Hoermeyer et al. (DE 19801321), Okada (JP 2003154233), or Cochran et al. (RD 470003).

Note: Italicized references are one page abstracts only.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,293

Art Unit: 1755

All of the above cited references teach heating a sorbent which can be a solid material such as fly ash, activated carbon, soil, etc. to liberate mercury from these solid particulates thus anticipating the instant invention. Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of temperature would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and would have expected to obtain the same result of mercury removal (see abstract and claims for each reference teaching heating to remove mercury).

The applicants also present a particular method how they heat or pass heat through openings to remove mercury. It is the examiner's position that technique of heating would have been an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art as long as a critical temperature is achieved that leads to the removal/liberation of mercury from the solid particulate matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755